



Private user-centric management of electronic services in smart communities

Antonio Robles González

ADVERTIMENT La consulta d'aquesta tesi queda condicionada a l'acceptació de les següents condicions d'ús: La difusió d'aquesta tesi per mitjà del repositori institucional UPCommons (<http://upcommons.upc.edu/tesis>) i el repositori cooperatiu TDX (<http://www.tdx.cat/>) ha estat autoritzada pels titulars dels drets de propietat intel·lectual **únicament per a usos privats** emmarcats en activitats d'investigació i docència. No s'autoritza la seva reproducció amb finalitats de lucre ni la seva difusió i posada a disposició des d'un lloc aliè al servei UPCommons o TDX. No s'autoritza la presentació del seu contingut en una finestra o marc aliè a UPCommons (*framing*). Aquesta reserva de drets afecta tant al resum de presentació de la tesi com als seus continguts. En la utilització o cita de parts de la tesi és obligat indicar el nom de la persona autora.

ADVERTENCIA La consulta de esta tesis queda condicionada a la aceptación de las siguientes condiciones de uso: La difusión de esta tesis por medio del repositorio institucional UPCommons (<http://upcommons.upc.edu/tesis>) y el repositorio cooperativo TDR (<http://www.tdx.cat/?locale-attribute=es>) ha sido autorizada por los titulares de los derechos de propiedad intelectual **únicamente para usos privados enmarcados** en actividades de investigación y docencia. No se autoriza su reproducción con finalidades de lucro ni su difusión y puesta a disposición desde un sitio ajeno al servicio UPCommons. No se autoriza la presentación de su contenido en una ventana o marco ajeno a UPCommons (*framing*). Esta reserva de derechos afecta tanto al resumen de presentación de la tesis como a sus contenidos. En la utilización o cita de partes de la tesis es obligado indicar el nombre de la persona autora.

WARNING On having consulted this thesis you're accepting the following use conditions: Spreading this thesis by the institutional repository UPCommons (<http://upcommons.upc.edu/tesis>) and the cooperative repository TDX (<http://www.tdx.cat/?locale-attribute=en>) has been authorized by the titular of the intellectual property rights **only for private uses** placed in investigation and teaching activities. Reproduction with lucrative aims is not authorized neither its spreading nor availability from a site foreign to the UPCommons service. Introducing its content in a window or frame foreign to the UPCommons service is not authorized (*framing*). These rights affect to the presentation summary of the thesis as well as to its contents. In the using or citation of parts of the thesis it's obliged to indicate the name of the author.



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA
DE CATALUNYA
BARCELONATECH

PhD Dissertation

PRIVATE USER-CENTRIC MANAGEMENT OF ELECTRONIC SERVICES IN SMART COMMUNITIES

A DISSERTATION

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TELEMATICS ENGINEERING
AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES
OF THE UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Doctoral thesis by:

Antonio Robles González

Thesis advisor:

Dr. Javier Parra Arnau

Thesis co-advisor:

Prof. Dr. Patricia Arias Cabarcos

SISCOM (Smart Services for Information Systems and Communication Networks)

Department of Network Engineering

28 June 2023

PRIVATE USER-CENTRIC MANAGEMENT OF ELECTRONIC SERVICES IN SMART COMMUNITIES

Antonio Robles González, 28 June 2023

Errata:

Page XIX:

In Figure 1. the reference [1] must be [16]. See below the errata for page 13.

Page 10:

The reference: *Section 0* must be *Section 4.5*.

Page 13:

The reference [1] in the title of Figure 1 must be [16], thus becoming

Figure 1. Formalized LINDDUN steps [16]. Accordingly in the list of figures on page XIX.

Page 14:

The reference in *LINDDUN-related publication [27]* must be *LINDDUN-related publication [26]*.

Page 18:

Table 1 includes errata referencing literature to the bibliography numbering starting on page 127.

work	Title	Author(s) and Ref.	Year	(sub-) benefits (criteria)/categories	Authentication categories/schemes	Results
UDS	Paper: The Quest to Replace Passwords: A Framework for Comparative Evaluation of Web Authentication Schemes	Bonneau et al. [20]	2012	25/UDS	10/9 (35)	Usability, deployability and security benefits are applied for evaluation. Fewer security benefits with privacy aspect are considered. In the published paper nine authentication categories are considered.
	EXTENDED Version: Technical Report: The Quest to Replace Passwords: A Framework for Comparative	Bonneau et al. [49]	2012	25/UDS	10/35	See comment above. In the EXTENDED Version 35 Authentication schemes are evaluated.
UDS extension	Supporting Decision Makers in Choosing Suitable Authentication Schemes	Mayer et al. [21]	2016	63/UDS	11/45	The authors in ACCESS ⁵ [21] offer an expert based knowledge decision support system. They group the authentication schemes into thirteen categories, but the categories 2FA (only with Keystroke Dynamics and Password) and motion-based (only with KinWrite, writing in space a password) combine in both schemes two categories used in Bonneau [1], thus the remaining categories are 11 too.
	The Quest to Replace Passwords Revisited Rating Authentication Schemes	Zimmerman et al. [43]	2018	25/UDS	10-12/85	Usability, deployability and security benefits are applied for evaluation. Privacy is not considered. Present results in ACCESS ⁵ [21], an online assess tool for authentication scheme with extended UDS benefits.
	The password is dead, long live the password – A laboratory study on user perceptions of authentication schemes	Zimmerman et al. [44]	2020	48/UDS	5/12	Focused on usability, deployability and security evalauton. Privacy is not considered.
	Generic Multimodels-Based Approach for the Analysis of Usability and Security of Authentication Mechanisms	Broders et al. [23]	2020	9/US	2/2	Model-based on user tasks extended with threats and effects on the tasks. The focus is on security and usability. Privacy is not considered.
Other related frameworks	Comparative Analysis and Framework Evaluating Web Single Sign-on Systems	Alaca et al. [22]	2020	14/UDSP	1/14	The focus is on usability, deployability, security and fewer on privacy aspects.
	Privacy-Centred Authentication: a new Framework and Analysis.	Robles-González et al. [27]	2023	32/UDSP	11/38	The UDS framwork is extended with privacy benefits, the biomtrics are extended and a privacy-based evaluation is done.

Table 1: Comparison of evaluation frameworks for authentication schemes with the UDSP framework.

Page 23:

In *Figure 2. UML use case of user demanding service access*. the acronym *Ucs* must be *UCs*.

Page 27:

In the fourth paragraph, at the end of (RFC2289) a bracket must be added, thus becoming (e.g. S/Key (*Lesli Lampert*), OTP (RFC2289)).

Page 28:

In line 6 of the first paragraph of page 28 *Amethod* must be *A-Method*.

Page 54:

The reference *Section 0* must be *Section 4.5*

Page 59:

In the last paragraph at the end of page 59 the reference [8] must be [20] (two times).

Page 62:

In the third paragraph *article 25 of [25]*) must be without the round bracket *article 25 of [25]*

Page 68:

For **PB4** bold is not needed.

Page 72:

For **S5**, **S6** and **S7** bold is not needed.

Page 73

The last sentence in the introduction of section 4.5 must be:

Finally, in section 4.5.3 the revealed privacy threats are categorized and in section 4.5.4 implementation approaches for the mitigation of fundamental threats are presented.

Page 89:

Trustworthiness (T), **Trustworthiness**, and **smart community service** must not be in bold.

Page 92:

In Table 1 row 3 / column 3 the content must be:

obligation to include a real user identity (UID), e.g. login

Page 94:

In the paragraph starting with “Right to have privacy (RTHP)” the word *having* must be *have*.

Page 95:

The paragraph starting with the text *Revocable is defined as the capability* must be *Revocable is defined as the user capability*.

Page 115:

Table 17: List of acronyms must include: UID = User Identity / User Identifier.