DOCTORAL THESIS

Title

"THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS IN THE CRUST BY INVERSION ANALYSIS OF S-WAVE CODA ENVELOPES. A CASE STUDY OF GAURIBIDANUR SEISMIC ARRAY SITE (SOUTHERN INDIA) AND GALERAS VOLCANO (SOUTH-WESTERN COLOMBIA)"

Presented by

EDUARD CARCOLÉ CARRUBÉ

Centre

OBSERVATORI DE L'EBRE

Department

SEISMOLOGY SECTION

Directed by

DRA. ARANTZA UGALDE AGUIRRE

Aquesta Tesi Doctoral ha estat defensada el dia

al Centre Observatori de l'Ebre

de la Universitat Ramon Llull

davant el Tribunal format pels Doctors sotasignants, havent obtingut la qualificació:



Doctorand

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| A | CKNO | OWLEL | OGMENTS | 15 |
|---|------|--------------|---|-----|
| 1 | IN | TROD | UCTION | 19 |
| 2 | СС | DDA CH | IARACTERISTICS | 25 |
| | 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | | _25 |
| | 2.2 | S-WA | VE CODA ATTRIBUTES | _28 |
| | 2.3 | СНА | RACTERIZING S-CODA ENVELOPES | _3(|
| | 2.4 | TWO | EXTREME MODELS FOR CODA WAVES | _33 |
| | 2.4 | .1 SIN | IGLE ISOTROPIC SCATTERING MODEL | 34 |
| | | 2.4.1.1 | Distribution of scatterers. Isotropic scattering assumption | 34 |
| | | 2.4.1.2 | Single isotropic scattering approximation | 35 |
| | | 2.4.1.3 | Properties of the solution | 37 |
| | 2.4 | .2 RA | TIO OF SINGLE SCATTERED ENERGY VERSUS MULTIPLE SCATTERED | |
| | EN | ERGY_ | | 38 |
| | 2.4 | .3 DII | FUSION THEORY | 41 |
| | 2.5 | RAD | IATIVE TRANSFER THEORY | _44 |
| | 2.5 | .1 RA | DIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION | 44 |
| | 2.5 | .2 SO | LUTIONS OF THE RADIATIVE TRANSFER EQUATION. ISOTROPIC | |
| | SC | ATTERI | NG | 47 |
| | | 2.5.2.1 | Ballistic peak and single scattering | 48 |
| | | 2.5.2.2 | Multiple scattering | 49 |
| | | 2.5.2.3 | Analytical approximation of multiple scattering integrals | 49 |
| | | 2.5.2.4 | Comparing exact solutions and approximate solutions | 5(|
| 3 | SP | ATIAL | DISTRIBUTION OF CODA SCATTERERS | 5. |
| | 3.1 | INTR | CODUCTION | _55 |
| | 3.2 | THE | OBSERVATIONAL EQUATION | _50 |
| | 3.3 | СОМ | PUTATION OF THE ENERGY RESIDUALS | _59 |
| 4 | IN | VERSI | ON METHODS | 6. |
| | 4.1 | INTR | ODUCTION | _63 |
| | 4.2 | ART | ALGORITHM | _64 |
| | 4.2 | .1 CO | NVERGENCE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SOLUTIONS | 67 |

5

| 4.3 | SIRT ALGORITHM | 68 |
|--|--|--|
| 4.3. | THE WEIGHT COEFFICIENTS W_{ij} | 69 |
| 4.4 | BACKPROJECTION ALGORITHM | 70 |
| 4.4. | BACKPROJECTION ALGORITHM IN TWO DIMENSIONS WITH LINEAR AR | RAYS |
| OF ' | FRANDUCERS. GEOMETRY AND DEFINITIONS | 70 |
| 4.4.2 | 2 THE FOURIER SLICE THEOREM | 72 |
| 4.4. | RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM FOR PARALLEL PROJECTIONS | 73 |
| 4.4.4 | IMPLEMENTING A FILTERED-BACKPROJECTION ALGORITHM FOR PARA | LLEL |
| DA | ΓA | 75 |
| 4.4.: | S EXAMPLES | 76 |
| 4.4.0 | 5 CONNECTION BETWEEN ART AND BACKPROJECTION. A SIMPLE EXAMP | LE.78 |
| 4.4.′ | BACKPROJECTION ALGORITHM VERSUS ART AND SIRT | 80 |
| 4.5 | BACKPROJECTION ALGORITHM TO FIND 3D DISTRIBUTIONS OF | I |
| SCAT | TERING COEFFICIENTS | 81 |
| 7 CD | | |
| $S SP_{\perp}$ | ATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS IN THE CRUST OF | |
| GAURI | 3IDANUR SEISMIC ARRAY REGION (SOUTHERN INDIA) | _ 87 |
| 5.1 | INTRODUCTION | 87 |
| 5.2 | GEOLOGICAL SETTING | 88 |
| 5.2. | CLOSEPET GRANITE BATHOLIT | 90 |
| 5.2.2 | 2 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CRATONS. THE DHAWAR CRATON | 93 |
| 5.2. | CHARACTERISTICS OF GAURIBIDANUR ARRAY'S SURROUNDING REGIO | N _95 |
| 5.3 | DATA DESCRIPTION | |
| 5.4 | DATA DESCRIPTION | 96 |
| | DATA DESCRIPTION | 96 96 |
| 5.5 | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS | 96 96 100 |
| 5.5 5.6 | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION | 96 96 100 106 |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS | 96 96 100 106 112 |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 6 TH | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS REE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS A | 96 96 100 106 112 IN |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 6 TH GALER | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS REE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS A 4S VOLCANO, SOUTH-WESTERN COLOMBIA | 96 96 100 106 112 IN 113 |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 6 TH GALER 6.1 | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS REE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS A S VOLCANO, SOUTH-WESTERN COLOMBIA INTRODUCTION | 96 100 106 112 IN 113 113 |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 6 TH GALER 6.1 6.2 | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS CONCLUSIONS REE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS AS VOLCANO, SOUTH-WESTERN COLOMBIA INTRODUCTION GEOLOGICAL SETTING | 96 96 100 112 112 IN 113 113 115 |
| 5.5 5.6 5.7 6 TH GALER 6.1 6.2 6.2. | DATA DESCRIPTION DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS DISCUSSION CONCLUSIONS REE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERERS AS VOLCANO, SOUTH-WESTERN COLOMBIA INTRODUCTION GEOLOGICAL SETTING GEOLOGICAL HISTORY | 96 100 106 112 //N 113 113 115 115 |

| 6.3 | DATA DESCRIPTION | 120 |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 6.4 | DATA ANALYSIS | 123 |
| 6.5 | RESULTS | 125 |
| 6.6 | DISCUSSION | 128 |
| 6.7 | CONCLUSIONS | 131 |
| 7 C | ONCLUSIONS | 133 |
| APPE | NDIX A. EVENTS AT GBA REGION | 137 |
| APPE | NDIX B. EVENTS AT GALERAS VOLCANO | 139 |
| APPE | NDIX C. PROGRAM CODE | 155 |
| 8 R. | EFERENCES | 165 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure. 2-1. Picture of the crystals of granite. | _25 |
|--|------|
| Figure 2-2. S. Andreas Fault, California. | _25 |
| Figure 2-3. Non-filtered seismograms corresponding to Galeras volcano events (appendix A). (A) Eve | ent |
| 4 station 22, 26/09/1989. (B) Event 75, station 4, 02/06/1992 (C) Event 250 station 19, 16/02/1996, (I | D) |
| Event 301, station 20, 30/04/1997. Green lines indicate the S-waves arrival time. | _26 |
| Figure 2-4. Summary of root mean squared coda envelopes for different frequency bands. Data are fro | m |
| many different events | _32 |
| Figure 2-5 Prolate spheroidal coordinates. $\xi_1 = \sinh \xi$, $\xi_2 = \cos \eta$, $\xi_3 = \phi$. | _36 |
| Figure 2-6 Maximum distance up to which the single scattering approximation may be used (v=3.5 | |
| km/s). Blue lines correspond to n=2 and red line to n=3. Solid lines correspond to $\xi = 0.2$ and dashed | |
| lines to $\xi = 0.43$ | _41 |
| Figure 2-7. Lunar earthquakes showing long coda durations. | _43 |
| Figure 2-8. Propagation through the scattering volume in the \$ direction and emission into the \$ direction | ion |
| from scattering events due to energy from the s' direction. | _45 |
| Figure 2-9. Intensity as a function of time t, at distances $r = 2.0l$, 2.8l and 4.0l, from left to right. The | e |
| blue lines are the exact result, which is very close to the interpolation formulas: green line corresponds | s to |
| Eq. (2.51) and orange line corresponds to using the approximation in Eq. (2.52). Dashed red lines | |
| correspond to the Gaussian diffusive result. | _53 |
| Figure 3-1. Analysis of event 300 recorded by the station 14 in the Galeras volcano (see appendix A). | |
| (A) corresponds to the raw seismogram. (B) corresponds to the filtered seismogram in the 4-8 Hz band | ł. |
| (C) is the power spectrum of the seismogram between $t=3.48$ s and $t=11.48$ s. This lapse time | |
| corresponds to the one that will be used to carry out the linear regression in figure (D). First green line | |
| indicates t_s in Figs (A-B-D) and second green line indicates $2t_s$ in Figs (B-D). In Fig (C) green lines | |
| indicate the frequency band under analysis. (E) corresponds to the logarithm of the coda energy residu | als |
| averaged in a time window of 0.5 s. | _61 |
| Figure 3-2. Analysis of event 128 recorded by the station 14 in the Galeras volcano (see Appendix A). | |
| (A) corresponds to the raw seismogram. (B) corresponds to the filtered seismogram in the 8-12 Hz bar | ıd. |
| (C) is the power spectrum of the seismogram (A) between t=4.0 s and t=13.95 s. This lapse time | |
| corresponds to the one that will be used to carry out the linear regression in figure (D). First green line | |
| indicates t_s in Figs (A-B-D) and second green line indicates $2t_s$ in Figs (B-D). In Fig (C) green lines | |
| indicate the frequency band under analisys. Dashed line indicates a safe initial time to avoid side effect | ts |
| from saturation of the recorded seismogram. (E) corresponds to the logarithm of the coda energy reside | uals |
| averaged in a time window of 0.5 s. | _62 |
| Figure 4-1. The Kaczmarz method of solving algebraic equations is illustrated for the case of two | |

unknowns. One starts with some arbitrary initial guess and then projects onto the line corresponding to the first equation. The resulting point is now projected onto the line representing the second equation. If

| figure, until convergence is achieved65 Figure 4-2. The hyperplane $\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{f} = p_1$ (represented by a line in this two-dimensional figure) is perpendicular to the vector \vec{w}_1 66 Figure 4-3. Illustration of the geometry of a set of parallel projection beams71 Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem73 Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured78 Figure 4-10. Projection process79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain)89 |
|---|
| Figure 4-2. The hyperplane $\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{f} = p_1$ (represented by a line in this two-dimensional figure) is perpendicular to the vector \vec{w}_1 . 66 Figure 4-3. Illustration of the geometry of a set of parallel projection beams. 71 Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem. 73 Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom. 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 79 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Trinathi and Ugalde. 2004). |
| perpendicular to the vector \vec{w}_1 . 66 Figure 4-3. Illustration of the geometry of a set of parallel projection beams. 71 Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem. 73 Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom. 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). |
| Figure 4-3. Illustration of the geometry of a set of parallel projection beams. 71 Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem. 73 Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem. 73 Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom. 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde. 2004). |
| Figure 4-4 Illustration of the Fourier Slice Theorem. 73 Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom. 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde. 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-5. Shepp-Logan Phantom. 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| Figure 4-6. Sinogram of the Shep-Logan 77 Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections. 77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde. 2004). |
| Figure 4-7. Reconstructions for (left to right up to down) N=1, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 and 128 projections77 Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured78 Figure 4-10. Projection process79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration79 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right)80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar crator; EDC, eastern Dharwar crator; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde. 2004). |
| Figure 4-8. Aliasing effects. 78 Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 79 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 80 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde. 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-9. Object to be measured. 78 Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 79 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-10. Projection process. 79 Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-11. Initial Solution. 79 Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-12 Result of the first iteration. 79 Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 4-13 Result of the second iteration. 80 Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right) . 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| Figure 4-14. Prolate spheroid (left) and oblate spheroid (right). 85 Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array. 88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, 88 western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, 89 cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 5-1. Geometry and location of stations of Gauribidanur seismic array88 Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). 89 |
| Figure 5-2. General geological sketch map of southern India. DVP, Deccan volcanic province; WDC, western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| western Dharwar craton; EDC, eastern Dharwar craton; SIGT, south Indian granulite terrain; EGGT, eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| eastern Ghat granulite terrain; CPG, closepet granite; CB, Cuddapah basin; PC, Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| cover. Dotted line indicates Fermor's line (boundary between Dharwar craton and south India granulite terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| terrain). (From Tripathi and Ugalde, 2004). |
| ·····)· (-······························ |
| Figure 5-3. Detailed geological map corresponding to the eastern and western Dhawar craton and the |
| Closepet granite batholit90 |
| Figure 5-4. Sketch drawing of the emplacement mode and strain partitioning in the Closepet granite at |
| contrasted structural levels (Moyen, 2000). Black, thin arrows correspond to melt movement. White, large |
| arrows: kinematics of deformation. Notice three different zones may be defined along the batholit93 |
| Figure 5-5. Map of southern India showing the location of the seismic stations and earthquakes used for |
| the analysis97 |
| Figure 5-6 Illustrating the process to calculate the coda energy residuals for a GBA seismic event99 |
| Figure 5-7. Hit counts or number of coda residuals contributed by each block. It measures the number of |
| times each block is sampled by the scattering shells of observed coda data. The darker areas are the zones |
| lesser sampled by the spherical shells101 |
| Figure 5-8. Spatial distribution of relative scattering coefficients for a synthetic test consisting of a |
| column. It was located northeast from the array centre point, which is shown by a solid triangle. A |
| longitudinal section corresponding to z=0 km and the corresponding transversal section are shown102 |
| Figure 5-9. Spatial distribution of relative scattering coefficients for different depths and for the two |
| inversion methods used: (a) results for the frequency band 1-2 Hz; (b) 2-4 Hz; and (c) 4-10 Hz. The |
| lightest zones indicate the strongest perturbations from an average scattering coefficient103 |

| Figure 5-10. Vertical cross section of relative scattering coefficients at the parallel 13.6°, which | |
|--|-------|
| corresponds to the latitude of the array cross-point. | _107 |
| Figure 5-11. Spatial distribution of relative scattering coefficients for a synthetic test consisting of on | e |
| spheroidal structure with two horizontal semi-axes of 13 km and the vertical semi-axis of 9.3 km. It w | /as |
| located at different distances from the array centre point, which is shown by a solid triangle: (a) to the | ; |
| west; (b) below; and (c) to the east. The pattern recovered at a depth of 0 km is plotted at the top of th | e |
| figure. The vertical cross section along the plane defined by the latitude of the array centre point is also | 30 |
| shown. | _109 |
| Figure 6-1. Topographic map of Colombia showing the location of the Galeras volcano and the study | r |
| area | _114 |
| Figure 6-2. Galeras volcano from the west flank. Photo by Norm Banks of USGS. | _115 |
| Figure 6-3. Geological map of the Galeras Region. The map includes the locations of the stations. | _117 |
| Figure 6-4. Map showing the shape of Galeras volcano and altitude curves . | _118 |
| Figure 6-5. Sketch of the magmatic plumbing system beneath Galeras. | _119 |
| Figure 6-6. Three-dimensional of hypocenters (green circles) and stations (blue triangles). | _121 |
| Figure 6-7. Vertical cross sections of the hit count distribution along the parallel 1.23°N (A) and the | |
| meridian 77.36°W (B) which correspond to the coordinates of the summit. The Galeras volcano location | ion |
| is indicated by the solid triangle | _126 |
| Figure 6-8. Horizontal sections of the study area showing the distribution of the relative scattering | |
| strength (α -1) at different depths from 4 km to -4.5 km. The solid triangle indicates the location of the | e |
| Galeras volcano summit. The topographic contour lines at 4000 m and 3500 m levels are also plotted. | . 127 |
| Figure 6-9. Vertical cross section of the study region along the two planes defined by the summit | |
| coordinates, which indicated by the solid triangle (latitude 1.23° and longitude -77.36°). The color sca | le |
| indicates the perturbation of the scattering coefficient α -1 for the 4-8 Hz (A, B) and 8-12 Hz (C, D) | |
| frequency bands. | _129 |
| Figure 6-10. Vertical cross section showing the results of the inversion analysis for a synthetic test | |
| consisting of two spherical structures buried at depths of -2 km and -33 km | _130 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2-1 Maximum distance where the single scattering approximation may be used (v=3.5km/s). | 40 |
|---|-----|
| Table 5-1. S-wave velocity model for the Gauribidanur region. | 100 |
| Table 6-1. Number, name and coordinates of each station from which data has been used. | 123 |
| Table 6-2. S-wave velocity model for the Galeras Volcano region. | 125 |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Staff of the Observatori de l'Ebre is thanked for providing the opportunity to develop this thesis.

Thesis advisor Arantza Ugalde is especially thanked for her useful physical insight and thoughtful discussions which provided much-needed guidance throughout this work.

I would like to thank my parents and brothers and sisters for their support and for encouraging me to pursue my doctorate. Most importantly, I would like to thank my wife Maria Cinta for her understanding, emotional support, and never-ending patience over the course of this work. "I think however, that there isn't any solution to this problem of education other than to realize that the best teaching can be done only when there is a direct individual relationship between a student and a good teacher, a situation in which the student discusses the ideas, thinks about the things, and talks about the things. It's impossible to learn very much by simply sitting in a lecture, or even by simply doing problems that are assigned. But in our modern times we have so many students to teach that we have to try to find some substitute for the ideal. Perhaps my lectures can make some contribution. Perhaps in some small place where there are individual teachers and students, they may get some inspiration or some ideas from the lectures. Perhaps they will have fun thinking them through or going on to develop some ideas further."

The Lectures on Physics, Richard Feynmann, June, 1963

1 INTRODUCTION

Seismology is the primary tool for the study of the earth's interior. Because few kilometres in depth can be drilled, all the information on deeper depths comes from indirect methods. Seismograms provide the data used for mapping the earth's interior and for studying the distribution of physical properties. The analysis of seismograms is also useful for assessing the societal hazards posed by earthquakes.

Because of the complexity of the processes involved, the approach taken, in general, is to describe them with simplified models that seek to represent key elements of the process under consideration. A hierarchy of different approximations, as appropriate, are used as starting models for more detailed investigations. The most accurate earth model used in Seismology is a laterally heterogeneous sphere. This model is often approximated as being spherically symmetric, with properties varying only with radius. This spherically symmetric model can be further approximated for many purposes as a stratified half-space, in which properties vary only with depth, or as a layered half-space composed of discrete uniform layers (Stein and Wysession, 2003, [1]).

A type of model to represent the earth medium is often chosen, and then seismological and other data is used to estimate the parameters of this model. Thus, a characteristic activity of Seismology is to solve inverse problems. Inverse problems are complicated to solve, because seismograms reflect the combined effect of the source and medium, neither of which is known exactly. Moreover, the inverse problems often have no unique solutions and the model parameters that describe the observations well do not have to reflect the physical reality necessarily. As a consequence, it is necessary to consider issues of precision, accuracy, and uncertainty.

A homogeneous, isotropic, elastic, layered half-space is often used in crust and upper mantle studies, where the distance between source and receiver is less than few hundred kilometres. For larger source-receiver distances, spherical geometry is required. More complex and accurate models consider the anisotropic and anelastic behaviour of the earth, and lateral variations, or heterogeneities. In addition to reflection and transmission at discrete interfaces, the reasons why seismic waves attenuate or decrease in amplitude as they propagate are: anelasticity (or deviation from eleasticity), geometrical spreading, multipathing, and scattering. Anelasticity, also called intrinsic absorption, implies the conversion of seismic energy into heat and it differs from the other processes in that energy is lost, not just moved onto a different path. The geometrical spreading effect is due to the redistribution of energy that occurs as the wave front expands or contracts during seismic waves' propagation. Multipathing implies a focusing and defocusing of seismic waves by lateral variations in velocity.

Scattering is due to the interaction of seismic waves with the heterogeneities of the medium and it occurs depending on the ratio of the heterogeneity size to the wavelength and the distance the wave travels through the heterogeneous region (Aki and Richards, 1980, [2]). When the heterogeneity is large compared to the wavelength, the wave is regarded as following a distinct ray path that is distorted by multipathing. When the heterogeneities are closer in size to the wavelength, scattering occurs. When the heterogeneities are much smaller than the wavelength, they simply change the medium's overall properties.

Scattering is especially important in the continental crust, which has many small layers and reflectors resulting from continental evolution. These structures do not affect waves with wavelengths longer than tens of kilometres, but they act as point scatterers fro shorter wavelength waves. Scattering is the cause of the presence, in high frequency (>1Hz) seismograms, of continuous wave trains following the direct S-wave which are known as coda waves. Array observations have shown that they are incoherent waves scattered by randomly distributed heterogeneities having random sizes and contrast of physical properties (Sato and Fehler, 1998) [10].

A number of models have been developed to explain the relationship between coda-waves' envelopes and the spectral structure of the random heterogeneity in the earth. The characterization of the earth as a random medium is complementary to the classical stratified media characterization.

In this thesis, coda waves' recordings from local earthquakes will be analyzed to estimate the three-dimensional spatial distribution of scatterers in the crust. For this purpose, it is necessary to know how the scattered waves' energy is distributed spatially and as a function of time. Thus, some hypothesis about the media characteristics and how and where the scattering is produced are necessary. The existing models on the scattering process will be reviewed in Chapter 2.

These models for S-coda envelope synthesis are based on the assumption of a homogeneous distribution of isotropic scatterers and they predict results consistent with the observed characteristics of the coda. However, detailed observations show that there may be departures from the observed characteristics of S-coda waves which may be explained by a inhomogeneous distribution of scatterers. This issue will be the subject of Chapter 3.

The problem of estimating deterministically the spatial distribution of scatterers leads to a inversion process of a huge system of equations that can not be solved by traditional methods. They require the use of sophisticated numerical techniques. We are talking about systems of equations with about 10^5 unknowns and 10^5 equations. These sorts of problems were solved for the first time in medical tomography applications and, since then, the computational methods needed have been applied to other scientific fields. The first approach used to obtain three dimensional reconstructions was an iterative method called ART. Then, other methods based on ART soon appeared. Although these methods are very accurate and the reconstructions are of a high quality, they have an important drawback: they are terribly slow and not appropriate for real time applications. Nowadays, scanners are able to obtain three dimensional images by solving large systems of equations, not by using iterative approaches, but using a remarkably fast non-iterative algorithm: the Filtered Backprojection. The Filtered Backprojection method is based on an important mathematical definition, the Radon Transform, and a theorem that connects the Radon transform and the Fourier Transform of the three-dimensional object to be reconstructed. This theorem is the so-called Fourier Slice Theorem.

In Chapter 4 we will analyze in detail the ART, SIRT and Filtered Backprojection algorithms. ART algorithm has been previously used in other seismological studies (Chen and Long, 2000, [43]). SIRT reconstructions, which are based on ART, are less noisy and better looking than ART reconstructions at the

expense of computation time. We will use this algorithm for the first time in seismological applications. The Filtered Backprojection algorithm had never been used in Seismology because there is no simple way to adapt it to the kind of problem to solve. The Filtered Backprojection algorithm is very sensitive to the geometry defined by the problem to solve. Then, firstly, the Filtered Backprojection algorithm will be derived using a simple approach and, secondly, a generalization by taking into account the special geometry of our problem will be adapted to our case. This is the main mathematical contribution of this thesis.

Chapters 5 and 6 present two applications of the methodology to different geotectonic regions in the earth: a seismically stable region in southern India and an active volcano in south-western Colombia. The three-dimensional spatial distribution of relative scattering coefficients in southern India will be estimated by means of an inversion technique applied to coda wave envelopes recorded by the Gauribidanur Seismic Array (GBA). The inversion analysis will be performed for the first time in this kind of seismological research by means of the Simultaneous Iterative Reconstruction Technique (SIRT) and Filtered Back-Projection method (FBP). Finally, the threedimensional spatial distribution of relative scattering coefficients will be estimated for the Galeras volcano, Colombia, by means of inversion analysis of coda wave envelopes and using the Filtered Backprojection algorithm. The scientific contribution of these applications is very important, since tomographic results confirm for the first time geological hypothesis on the structure of both regions. On the one hand, the presence of the Closepet granitic batholith to the east of GBA is revealed up to a depth of about 24 km. This granitic intrussion if one of the most important geological features of the region that acts as the major geological boundary in the region. It is believed to be a Precambrian suture zone between the eastern and western Dharwar craton in southern India. On the other hand, the present magmatic plumbing system of Galeras volcano sketched by geological evidences is also confirmed. Two zones of strong scattering are detected: the shallower one is compatible with the presence of a shallow magmatic chamber located at a depth from 4 km to 8 km under the summit. The deeper one is imaged at a depth of ~37 km from the Earth's surface and may be related to a deeper magma reservoir that feeds the system.

Parallel to the theoretical developments in this thesis, an important amount of work

corresponds to programming the numerical algorithms and graphic displays. Numerical algorithms were programmed in C++ using a free version of Borland C++ (BuilderX [3]) and a commercial compiler as Microsoft Visual C++ [4] to assure a high compatibility. The program codes are annexed at the end of the document. Some of the graphic representations were generated with the DISLIN graphic libraries from the Max Planck Institute of Solar Research [5]. Using DISLIN, programs written in C++ were developed to display results. Special look-up tables (pseudocolor) were designed to enhance the significance of the three-dimensional reconstructions. Three-dimensional representations were also developed. The outcome of this effort can be particularly noticed in Chapter 6.